UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,948	09/10/2003	Timothy A. Hovanec	P 0294309 081289	7889
Pillsbury Wintl	7590 07/13/200° hrop LLP	· ·	EXAMINER	
Intellectual Pro			MARX, IRENE	
Suite 2800 725 So. Figuer	oa Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5406		1651		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		07/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/659,948	HOVANEC, TIMOTHY A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Irene Marx	1651	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 02 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41,37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41,37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below): (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: see attachment. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: \_ Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6,7,16,18,20 and 21</u>. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. \( \times \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attachment. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: \_\_\_\_. Irene Marx Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1651

Application/Control Number: 10/659,948

Art Unit: 1651

Note:

The proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search with respect to the addition in claims 1, 16, 18 and 20 of the phrase "and said bacterial strain is obtained from isolation or culture" as well as the deletion of "and maintaining", including new issues under 35 U.S.C § 112.

## **Response to Arguments**

Applicant's arguments as they pertain to the claims on record have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Regarding the missing the critical step of culturing or growing the bacterial cells in order to oxidize ammonia to nitrite in the process as claimed, applicant argues that growing or culturing occurs earlier to "achieve the initial bacteria biomass" that is introduced into the aquarium. Applicant cites pages 21-30 as support for this concept. However, this subject is not clearly addressed in this portion of the specification related to the isolation and identification of cultures.

Moreover, the correlation between the graphs now presented and the instant invention cannot be readily assessed. There is no clear indication

1) of the identity of the bacterial cells used, i.e., whether these are bacteria that comprise a nucleotide sequence that has at least 96% identity over the full length thereof to SEQ ID NO: 1, and 2) the environmental context in which they were cultured.

Applicant asserts that the test evaluates how NH<sub>4</sub>Cl is transformed into nitrite and later from nitrite into nitrate. The data, if any, on the first graph presented cannot be readily interpreted (NO<sub>2</sub>-N mg/l). Applicant also asserts that the results demonstrate nitrification upon introduction of the "claimed bacterial strain". Yet the correlation between "BioSpira" and the bacterial strain as claim designated is not identified with any particularity. The type and nature of aquarium used in the test and as claimed is similarly not clearly delineated. Thus the development and accumulation of ammonia may vary greatly. Therefore, the significance of the results is not readily apparent.

In addition the data now presented is not in declaration form and is not probative of the conditions necessary to successfully perform the invention as claimed.

Therefore the rejection is deemed proper and it is adhered to.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,948 Page 3

Art Unit: 1651

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irene Marx whose telephone number is (571) 272-0919. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Irene Marx
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1651